## Silence in the writings of Guillevic and Beckett

Jim Murdoch

Silence, yes, but what silence! For it is all very fine to keep silence, but one has also to consider the kind of silence one keeps. – Samuel Beckett

I discovered the French poet Eugène Guillevic a while back when, on a whim, I decided I'd have a go at translating a poem from French into English. The poem I picked was actually only an excerpt from a long poem entitled *Art poétique, poème 1985-1986* although it really is a collection of about 160 short—occasionally tiny—poems all of which stand perfectly well on their own and yet are obviously connected to the surrounding material. The same is true of the book-length poem *Carnac* of which its translator Denise Levertov writes:

Guillevic has sustained a profound book-length poem, but formally his method in it remains the sequence of short poems, each paradoxically autonomous yet closely related to one another.<sup>1</sup>

Since I couldn't find an English translation of the section I'd picked online (although, oddly, there was one in Russian) I decided to buy the book and I'm glad I did because Guillevic (he dropped the Eugène in later life) was right down my street.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Denise Levertov, Guillevic-Levertov - Selected Poems (New Directions, 1968), p.3

He was born on August 5th, 1907 in Carnac which is a commune beside the Gulf of Morbihan on the south coast of Brittany in the Morbihan department in north-western France and then, in 1909, moved to Jeumont on the Belgian border. Of course if you're not familiar with France you probably imagine that everyone in France speaks unreasonable assumption—but in French—a not Carnac they speak Breton, a language more closely related to Cornish than French, and in Jeumont, although French dominates in that area, there are two minority languages, Dutch and Picard. significant Moving then to Alsace near the Swiss border the next language he had to become familiar with was Alsatian—a German dialect—so the fact is that this French poet didn't actually hear conversational French spoken about him until he was twenty. This would be about the same age Beckett was (they were contemporaries) when he first moved to France to take up the post of lecteur d'anglais in the École Normale Supérieure in Paris; in fact they will have been neighbours for a time although I have no idea if they ever met. Beckett, of course, famously turned his back on English to write in French and, although also something of a polyglot himself, the fact is that French was not his native tongue. As Rolf Breuer notes:

It was a transformation from a witty satirist using all the resources of [Beckett's] native English to a writer treating themes of intellectual poverty and impotence who turned to French as a means of conveying these subjects adequately.<sup>2</sup>

Their mature poetry has much in common. James Sallis writes:

Gradually over the years Guillevic developed a poetry of common speech, a poetry without artifice; he did not want to knit an alternative world from the warp and woof of language, but to ferret out what knowledge he could of the actual world, to find what identification he could with the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rolf Breuer, 'Flann O'Brien and Samuel Beckett', *Irish University Review*, Vol. 37, No. 2, p. 340

world and things of the world, from among the baffles of self and of language.<sup>3</sup>

Of course, when I hear something like "poetry of common speech, without artifice", that makes me think of the antipoetry of Nicanor Parra who exhibits a similar distaste for standard poetic pomp and function.

Guillevic is his own man though and I reference these others only to put him in perspective. You wouldn't jump to call Beckett a poet of the landscape and yet landscape—especially that of his childhood—clearly informs his choices. He was at home wandering around the bleak hills surrounding Dublin as Guillevic was comfortable in the bare, fraught landscape of Brittany. (Even though he was only two when the family moved away from Carnac he retained an abiding love for the place. "Brittany acts as a centre of gravitation in his *oeuvre*."<sup>4</sup>) Likewise they both have an abiding love and need of silence.

Comme certainties	Like some music
musiques	The poem makes
Le poème fait chanter le	silence sing,
silence,	
	Leads us until we
Amène jusqu'à toucher	touch
Un autre silence,	Another silence,
Encore plus silence.	Even more silence.
Liteore priis suchee.	L, en more bilenee.
Art Poétique (pp.74,75)	

This reminded me of something Charles Juliet said about Beckett:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> James Sallis, 'Carnac and Living in Poetry', Boston Review (October 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Stella Harvey, *Myth and the Sacred in the Poetry of Guillevic* (Rodopi B.V.Editions, 1997), p.2

Beckett tells Juliet that he often sat through whole days in silence in his cottage in Ussy-sur-Marne. With no paper before him, no intent to write, he took pleasure in following the course of the sun across the sky: "There is always something to listen to" he says. So Beckett didn't experience silence *as* silence: it was attention.<sup>5</sup>

Or as Guillevic might have put it:

I'm here Doing nothing.

But maybe I'm out hunting.

Art Poétique (p.109)

Juliet forced himself to break the first silence by telling Beckett of how his appreciation of his work changed after reading *Texts for Nothing*: "what had impressed me most" he says "was the peculiar silence that reigns... a silence attainable only in the furthest reaches of the most extreme solitude, when the spirit has abandoned and forgotten everything and is no more than a receiver capturing the voice that murmurs within us when all else is silent. A peculiar silence, indeed, and one prolonged by the starkness of the language. A language devoid of rhetoric or literary allusions, never parasitized by the minimal stories required to develop what it has to say."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Stephen Mitchelmore, 'Beckett's Silences', *This Space*, 16th February 2011, http://this-space.blogspot.co.uk/2011/02/becketts-silence.html

– Yes, he agrees in a low voice, when you listen to yourself, it's not literature you hear. $^{6}$ 

Beckett's late writing (not simply his poetry, of course) has this in common with Guillevic's approach to poetry; a voluntary poverty:

Il te faut de la pauvreté	You need some poverty
dans ton domaine.	In your domain.
C'est comme ce besoin qu'on peu avoir d'un mur blanchi à la chaux.	<i>t</i> It's like the need you can feel For a whitewashed wall.
Une richesse, une profusion	A wealth, a profusion
de mots, de phrases, d'idées	Of words, sentences, ideas
t'empêcheraient de te centrer	Would not let you be centred,
d'aller, de rester	Or go, or stay
là où tu veux	Wherever you want, Wherever you have to go.
où tu dois aller	To open up,
pour ouvrir,	To remain in silence.
pour recueillir.	Your inner chamber
Ta chambre intérieure	Is a place of poverty.
est un lieu de pauvreté.	1

Art Poétique (pp.60,61)

As Russell Smith writes regarding Beckett, "the wordlessness of the late theatre works, seem to enact a philosophy of linguistic nihilism, a repudiation not only of literary conventions but of language itself, a

<sup>6</sup> Ibid.

reaching towards the silence which would be its only true expression<sup>"7</sup> or, as Beckett himself puts it in *Texts for Nothing X*:

No, no souls, or bodies, or birth, or life or death, you've got to go on without any of that junk that's all dead with words, with excess of words, they can say nothing else, they say there is nothing else.

So once you've got rid of all the words or as many words as you can, what then? What is the point to all this silence?

Du silence	Silence
Je fore,	I drill,
Je creuse.	I dig.
Je fore	I drill
dans le silence	in the silence
<i>Ou plutôt</i>	or rather
Dans du silence,	in the silence
Celui qu'en moi	that is
Je fais.	within me.
Et je fore, je creuse Vers plus de silence,	And I drill, I dig to more silence,
Vers le grand,	to the vast,
Le total silence en ma vie	the total silence of my life

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Russell Smith, 'Beckett, Negativity and Cultural Value', *The Samuel Beckett On-Line Resources* 

and Links Pages, http://www.samuel-beckett.net/smith.html

Où le monde, je l'espère,where its world, I hope,Me révélera quelque chose dewill reveal something oflui.myself.

Possibles futurs, p.165 (translation, me)

He uses similar terminology in another poem which opens:

S'il n'y avait Qu a creuser dans le noir,

S'il n'y avait Qu'à perforer

Pour arriver Où la lumière elle-même.

where he talks about digging through the dark to get to the light, to literally perforate darkness. In his thesis on Guillevic Jaroslav Havir talks about this poem:

The two conditional phrases that open the text as an implied question that becomes a statement – "What if it were only a matter of digging and drilling?" "Creuser" and "perforer" suggest not only material work, but imply that strong obstacles and resistance have to be broken through by writing. Writing becomes a working through, a negative operation of breaking up of standing discourse – "effraction"<sup>8</sup> – to reach another discourse of revelation.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> A legal term meaning breaking into a house, store, etc., by force; forcible entry. From the French which literally means a breaking open.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Jaroslav Havir, *The poetry of Guillevic: discourses of alienation, the erotic and ecology in Requiem, Terraqué, Carnac, Du Domaine and Maintenant* (Monash University, 2004), p.287

And yet in a poem from his second collection, *Exécutoire* (*Géometries*), Guillevic realises:

To see inside walls Is not given us. Break them as we will Still they remain surface.

Is this not the problem with all poetry that no matter how far you dig (into yourself, if you're the poet, or into the poem if you're its reader) no poem ever completely reveals itself?

Although [Guillevic] has spoken of poetic creativity in terms of *'jaillissement*'[gushing], he also states that his poems are always thoroughly written and rewritten possibly many times over.<sup>10</sup>

This is clear when you start looking at translating one of these poems. On one level they are indeed *the* most straightforward of poems with very few words and mostly simple ones at that but John Montague discovered that he had his work cut out when he settled down to translate *Carnac*. He began his task with an "excess of enthusiasm"<sup>11</sup> but found out, much as I did when I took on what I thought was a straightforward poem about trickling sand from one hand to the other, just how hard it can be to deal with simple, direct language. It took "twenty years to bring this translation to heel."<sup>12</sup>

Beckett's French poetry has also proven to be a nightmare to translate. Some, thankfully, he did himself but there were many he chose

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Stella Harvey, *Myth and the Sacred in the Poetry of Guillevic* (Rodopi B.V.Editions, 1997), p.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> James Sallis, 'Carnac and Living in Poetry', Boston Review (October 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Ibid

to leave and let the rest of us struggle with. Here, for example, is a tiny offering, Google's shot and my humble effort at translation:

écoute-les s'ajouter	listen to the add	listen
les mots	words	add words
aux mots	the words	to words
sans mots	no words	without words
les pas	not the	
aux pas	not to	not-one
un à	one	to one
un	a	to not

I could be—and probably am—way off here. But it sounds like something Beckett would have said, a progression from nothing to something and then back to a different kind of nothing. A recent attempt to translate one containing just seven words –

rêve sans fin ni trêve à rien dream without cease nor ever peace

Mirlitonnades<sup>13</sup>

- spurred weeks of readers' attempts in the letters page of the *Times Literary Supplement*. Roger O'Keefe, for instance, rendered it:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Samuel Beckett, *Collected Poems* (John Calder, 1986), p.87

dream without cease or treaty of peace

What James Sallis writes about Guillevic can equally be applied to Beckett:

What is at the very heart of his work's excellence—the simplicity of its diction, the unadorned language, its very modesty—renders it all but untranslatable. Even in French, Guillevic can be an elusive read. Slight, elliptical, gnomic, the poems vanish when looked at straight on. "Les mots / C'est pour savoir," he says. Words are for knowing. And by *les mots* he means, resolutely, French words. Because their mystery, their magic, is in the language itself, these poems do not easily give up their secrets, or travel well. They *are* their secrets. They crack open the dull rock of French and find crystal within. In English, all too often, only the dullness, the flatness, remains.<sup>14</sup>

Beckett's last poem is well known. It opens:

folly – folly for to – for to – what is the word – folly from this – all this – folly from all this – given – folly given all this – seeing –

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> James Sallis, 'Carnac and Living in Poetry', Boston Review (October 2000).

folly seeing all this – this – what is the word –

'What Is The Word' (Beckett's own translation of 'Comment Dire')<sup>15</sup>

Some have wondered why he chose to translate *folie* as folly. It seemed obvious to me and yet on investigation it turns out that the common translation of *folie* into English is actually 'madness'. My own thought is that he is using 'folly' to suggest both foolishness and also to make us think about an actual folly, a whimsical or extravagant structure built to serve as a conversation piece. He specifically mentions a folly in his play *That Time*:

A — Foley was it Foley's Folly bit of a tower still standing all the rest rubble and nettles where did you sleep no friend all the homes gone was it that kip on the front where you no she was with you then still with you then just the one night in any case off the ferry one morning and back on her the next to look was the ruin still there where none ever came where you hid as a child slip off when no one was looking and hide there all day long on a stone among the nettles with your picture-book.

Early drafts—Beckett also reworked his texts mercilessly—include many biographical reminiscence, some of which still make their way into the final version. Eoin O'Brien has written a marvellous book entitled *The Beckett Country* in which he's provided photographs to go with all of Beckett's writing. It was an immense undertaking and he was lucky that Beckett was feeling helpful because he wasn't always. O'Brien had tracked down what he thought the place was Taylor's Tower but that was not it:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Samuel Beckett, As the Story was Told (John Calder, 1990), p.132

Sam pored over the photographs, fascinated by the beauty of the place, but then, to my disappointment, informed me that he had never been there. Instead he directed me to Barrington's Tower, which, of course made much more sense in that it was close to Cooldrinagh, where he had been sent "supperless to bed" in punishment for his childhood peregrinations. When I asked him why he had changed the name, he said: "Eoin, there's no music in Barrington's Tower."<sup>16</sup>

Compare this now with Guillevic's poem:

All this quivering You feel within you, Around you:

Collect it, Assemble it, Before it gets lost,

Make of it Something like a sculpture That will challenge time.

Art Poétique (p.125)

A sculpture, a monument, a folly—it all feels about the same to me. A sculpture does not need words. It stands at a distance from them. The adjective 'sculptural' is one has been used when talking about how actors approach their parts in Beckett's plays and I'm not just thinking of the part of the living sculpture in *Catastrophe*. Here Jonathan Kalb talks

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Eoin O'Brien, The Beckett Country (Arcade Publishing, Incorporated, 1993), p.220

about the problems the actress Hildegard Schmahl had with the part of May in *Footfalls*:

[T]he actress struggled to fulfil the author's wishes, to imitate the cold conspiratorial quality of his monotone linereadings while going through the motions of pacing in the slumped, infolded posture he demonstrated, "I can't do it mechanically," she would say. "I must understand it first and then think." But her vocal deliveries remained scattered, unconvincing, and laden with superfluous 'colour.'. . . Schmahl ultimately succeeded by means of a radical self-denial . . . for she eventually came to adopt the author's view of her task as primarily sculptural.<sup>17</sup>

The renowned Beckett scholar Stanley Gontarski has also commented on the "iconic, sculptural qualities of his works from *Play* onward."<sup>18</sup> The wordless TV play *Quad* has also been described as "something akin to visual sculpture".<sup>19</sup>

Beckett made veritable pilgrimages to further his knowledge of thirteenth- to sixteenth-century German sculpture.

[...]

Beckett's stay in Bamberg ... was dominated by sculptural study. He went to Kaiserdom, with its astonishing array of sculptural decoration on four separate occasions.<sup>20</sup>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Jonathan Kalb, *Beckett in Performance* (Cambridge University Press, 1991), p.63-64
<sup>18</sup> S.E. Gontarski , 'Staging Himself, Or Beckett's Late Style in the

Theatre', Samuel Beckett Today / Aujourd'hui, 6, p.95

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> David Pattie, The Complete Critical Guide to Samuel Beckett, p.94

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Mark Nixon, 'Beckett and the Visual Arts', *Samuel Beckett's German Diaries 1936-1937* (Bloomsbury, 2011), pp.149,152

In a piece of satire in *The Onion* they talk about a supposedly newly discovered Beckett play:

The 23 blank pages, which literary experts presume is a two-act play composed sometime between 1973 and 1975, are already being heralded as one of the most ambitious works by the Nobel Prize-winning author of *Waiting for Godot*, and a natural progression from his earlier works, including 1969's *Breath*, a 30-second play with no characters, and 1972's *Not I*, in which the only illuminated part of the stage is a floating mouth.<sup>21</sup>

It's a funny article and worth a read but its point is a valid one. It's what Beckett seemed to be working towards. And, again, Guillevic expresses a similar thought in *Art Poétique*:

I would like To speak silence. Silence

Speaks of the centre.

They are What I need.

Art Poétique (p.159)

Silence is not nothingness. That may seem an obvious thing to say but it is an important one. I am silent for much of the day but rarely am I doing nothing. My wife, and I imagine this applies to most wives, has a far

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> 'Scholars Discover 23 Blank Pages That May As Well Be Lost Samuel Beckett Play', *The Onion*, 26th April 2006, http://www.theonion.com/articles/scholars-discover-23-blank-pages-that-may-as-well,1946/

greater need to initiate conversation than I do. When I think of most of our conversations I tend to play black if I can use chess as a metaphor; I respond—happily I should report (my wife is an interesting person)—but I don't have as strong a need to vocalise as she does; I verbalise constantly (in my head) but I'm really not that fond of the sound of my own voice. And so it is true to say that all my writing comes as a natural result of bouts of prolonged silence. As Shalom Freedman puts it:

I waited for the Silence Only then could I hear my own poem – The Silence came and I began to write

'I Waited for the Silence'<sup>22</sup>

I found this comment by Erik Nakjavani, talking about Guillevic, thought-provoking:

Without silence, there would be nothing but sounds; therefore, no discernible utterance and therefore no language. So one can categorically state: Without the invisible, there would be no visibility, and without silence, there would be no language. Our desire to return to this original moment of silence and the invisible in creative activities intimates a profound and authentic nostalgia for the pre-historic, even pre-lingual world. Perhaps atavistic nostalgia gives us an experience however elementary of the primal silence and invisible that is the background of Guillevic's concept of "living in poetry."<sup>23</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Quoted on Poem Hunter http://www.poemhunter.com/poem/i-waited-for-the-silence/ (unable to trace original source)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Erik Nakjavani, 'Homage to Guillevic: The Poet of Atavistic Nostalgia for the Primeval', *PSYART: A Hyperlink Journal for the Psychological Study of the Arts*, 15th December 2009, http://www.psyartjournal.com/article/show/nakjavanihomage\_to\_guillevic\_the\_poet\_of\_atavisti

Also this from Louise Glück:

I love white space, love the telling omission, love lacunae, and find oddly depressing that which seems to have left nothing out.<sup>24</sup>

Okay I would never go so far as to suggest that silence *is* poetry but what cannot be denied is that the best poetry is dripping with silences and lying in a pool of silence. As W. S. Merwin writes:

## To the Margin

Following the black footprints the tracks of words that have passed that way before me I come again and again to your blank shore

not the end yet but there is nothing more to be seen there to be read to be followed to be understood and each time I turn back to go on in the same way that I draw the next breath

the wider you are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Quoted in David Godkin, 'Louise Glück: American Poetry's "Silent Soldier"', Speaking of Poems, 15th April, 2012, http://speakingofpoems.blogspot.co.uk/2012/04/theres-moment-in-proofs-and-

theories 15.html

the emptier and the more innocent of any signal the more precious the text feels to me as I make my way through it reminding myself listening for any sound from you

(as published in the 18/25 July 2005 issue of *The Nation*, p. 40)

Ironically Beckett's white space is usually black. I suspect it's a matter of practicality. Darkness is so much easier to manage on a stage but I wonder if he would have preferred his plays to be performed in an oasis that faded into whiteness. Here's a perfect example from *Ohio Impromptu*:



He's not here to ask but the importance of the black and white divide in his late plays has often been commented on, "not just a normal theatrical blackout, but a darkness of a different order."<sup>25</sup>

Xerxes Mehta points out that Beckett's darkness "is a part of the weave of his work, the most important single element of the image" [...] "It should be as absolute as can be managed. Darkness at this level becomes a form of sense deprivation."<sup>26</sup>

Deprivation, poverty, absence: it's all the same thing. All that we have been provided with—our rations, if you like—are either the words on the page or the action in the spotlight. Black is not that far removed from white though. As Guillevic notes:

I know the strange Variety of black Which is called light.

*Sphère* (p.108)

In the monochrome paintings of Japan called Sumi-e (or Suibokuga) the empty space, of the undrawn white of the paper is referred to as Yohaku. "Blank space is not simply unpainted areas; it is important to the composition of a painting and carries the same "weight" as the painted areas, often serving to set off or balance the painted motifs. ... [I]n their paintings blank space functioned as 'spirit'."<sup>27</sup> The philosophy underlying this school of art is an interesting one. As Wikipedia puts it:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Junko Matoba, 'Religious Overtones in the Darkened Area of Beckett's Later Short Plays', *Samuel Beckett Today / Aujourd'hui*, 9, p.31

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Ibid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Japanese Architecture and Art users system: yohaku. A blank, unpainted space. It is considered one of the identifying characteristics of both Chinese and Japanese painting.

The goal of ink and wash painting is not simply to reproduce the appearance of the subject, but to capture its soul. To paint a horse, the ink wash painting artist must understand its temperament better than its muscles and bones. To paint a flower, there is no need to perfectly match its petals and colours, but it is essential to convey its liveliness and fragrance. East Asian ink wash painting may be regarded as an earliest form of expressionistic art that captures the unseen.<sup>28</sup>

Seems like a pretty decent definition of what poets aim to do with words, don't you think? The blank page, the empty stage—that is where it all begins:

what would I do without this silence where the murmurs die the paintings the frenzies towards succour towards love without this sky that soars above its ballast dust

what would I do what I did yesterday and the day before peering out of my deadlight looking for another wandering like me eddying far from all the living in a convulsive space among the voices voiceless that throng my hiddenness

from 'What Would I Do' (Beckett's own translation of 'que ferais-je sans ce monde')<sup>29</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Wikipedia, Ink wash painting, Philosophy,

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ink\_wash\_painting#Philosophy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Samuel Beckett, Collected Poems in English and French (John Calder, 1977), p.58

In a 1969 interview Beckett said, "Writing becomes not easier, but more difficult for me. Every word is like an unnecessary stain on silence and nothingness. Democritus pointed the way: 'Naught is more than nothing.<sup>1130</sup> Beckett obviously liked the expression because, according to Deirdre Bair he used it on a number of occasions, with her and others; in fact these are the final words in her 1978 biography of him:

I couldn't have done it otherwise, gone on I mean. I could not have gone on through the awful wretched mess of life without having left a stain upon the silence.<sup>31</sup>

And everyone knows the famous end to *The Unnamable*—"I can't go on, I'll go on."—but this is the bit before it:

... it will be I, you must go on, I can't go on, you must go on, I'll go on, you must say words, as long as there are any, until they find me, until they say me, strange pain, strange sin, you must go on, perhaps it's done already, perhaps they have said me already, perhaps they have carried me to the threshold of my story, before the door that opens on my story, that would surprise me, if it opens, it will be I, it will be *the silence, where I am*, I don't know, I'll never know, in the silence you don't know, you must go on, I can't go on, I'll go on. (italics mine)

Mahood gabbles on as does Mouth in *Not I* and Winnie in *Happy Days* scrambling to find words. Winnie's entire *raison d'être* is to speak; words flow from her in an endless stream—"if only I could bear to be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Charles A. Carpenter, *Modern British, Irish, and American Drama: A Descriptive Chronology, 1865-1965* quoted in 'Samuel Beckett, 1906-1989: A Descriptive Chronology of His Plays, Theatrical Career, and Dramatic Theories' excerpted with additions and other modifications, *Bingweb*,

http://bingweb.binghamton.edu/~ccarpen/Beckett.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Deidre Bair, Samuel Beckett (Simon & Schuster, 1978), p.681

alone, I mean prattle away with not a soul to hear"—and that brings me back to Guillevic's *jaillissement*. Words babble forth.

So where is silence located? In her introduction to her translation of *Art Poétique* Maureen Smith writes:

[Guillevic] created his own world which he refers to as a "domain", a "kingdom" or a "sphere", the place where something new is expected to come into existence, and in which he searches for a language of concreteness and clarity. [...] In this domain, the search for language entails an experience of fullness and void, darkness and light, silence and singing. Sensitive, like his contemporary the painter Camille Bryen, to the existence of void and fullness, Guillevic recognises their presence "even in silence." (*Inclus*, 132) It is this void that allows entry, allows the perception of the murmur at the heart of the void (*Inclus*, 135).

This description brings to mind Beckett's "skullscapes". The word was not coined by Beckett himself<sup>32</sup> but I suspect he would have liked it. At the beginning of *Murphy* he, for example, describes Murphy's mind as "a large hollow sphere, hermetically closed to the universe without." An even more graphic description appears in his first short story, 'Assumption', where the protagonist retreats from speech into "a flesh-locked sea of silence". Molloy talks about his "ivory dungeon" and this view is echoed by Malone and Mahood in the second and third parts of the trilogy.

Beckett's skullskapes are not without windows though. The set for *Endgame* has often been described as a skull with eye sockets and then we have the woman in *Rockaby* sitting at her upstairs window, searching

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> The designation "skullscape" is Linda Ben Zvi's, from the recorded discussion that followed the production of *Embers* for the Beckett Festival of Radio Plays, recorded at the BBC Studios, London on January 1988.

the windows opposite to see another "one living soul" like herself.<sup>33</sup> Guillevic has written similar:

---What are you waiting for, Standing at the window? You seem all intent On something outside.

—I'm not waiting for anything. I'm not observing anything. I see without looking.

—But I know that you are waiting. Waiting for something, Waiting to be invaded By the now.

The Sea & Other Poems (translated by Patricia Terry)

Waiting; one of the major themes of Beckett's *oeuvre*. And waiting is so often done in silence. Maybe not at first. At first we do stuff to distract ourselves but in time the distractions bore us and we settle in. And it's then, free of distraction, that we are prepared. Waiting is never doing nothing: it is anticipating. It is ready. For "the now".

Silence is clearly important to a great many writers, not just Beckett (who one might think had some kind of monopoly on it) and Guillevic. William S. Burroughs said, "Silence is only frightening to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> The composer Charles Dodge, met with Beckett in Paris to discuss preparing a performance version of *Cascando*. Beckett used the occasion to gush about a new apartment he had just taken. He was particularly excited because its window overlooked the yard of an adjacent prison. Charles asked what Beckett could see out his window. Beckett replied: "A face, sometimes part of one." – M. Schell, *Beckett, Openness and Experimental Cinema, 1990/1998* 

people who are compulsively verbalising,"<sup>34</sup> Thomas Carlyle said, "Under all speech that is good for anything there lies a silence that is better"<sup>35</sup> and Marshall McLuhan said, "Darkness is to space what silence is to sound, i.e., the interval"<sup>36</sup> but I think Alice Walker really hits the nail on the head with this quote which I'll leave you with:

*Everything does come out of silence*. And once you get that, it's wonderful to be able to go there and live in silence until you're ready to leave it. I've written and published seven novels and many, many, many stories and essays. And each and every one came out of basically nothing—that's how we think of silence, as not having anything. But I have experienced silence as being incredibly rich.<sup>37</sup> (italics mine)

P.S. After finishing this I discovered an English translation of that tiny Beckett poem. And I was way off:

listen to them accumulate word after word without a word step by step one by one

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> William S. Burroughs, *The Job* (Penguin, 1989), pp.39,40

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Thomas Carlyle, *The Works of Thomas Carlyle* (Cambridge University Press, 2010), p.27

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Marshall McLuhan, *Toward a Spatial Dialogue, ch. 16*, 'Through the Vanishing Point' (Harper & Row, 1968)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Valerie Reiss, 'Alice Walker calls God "Mama", *beliefnet.com*, February 2007. http://www.beliefnet.com/Wellness/2007/02/Alice-Walker-Calls-God-Mama.aspx?p=2